

# 0602 Slides

MA 116

June 2025

## 9.2 Procedure

**The only question type for 9.2.** Given a quantitative population, we want to estimate its population mean  $\mu$  by an interval estimator to a confidence level  $(1 - \alpha)100\%$ .

- 1 Step 1. Determine if the distribution of our new variable  $t$  can be approximated by the Student's  $t$ -distribution: there are 2 situations.
- 2 Step 2. If yes, calculate your  $\alpha$ . e.g. 95% confidence level  $\leftrightarrow \alpha = 0.05$ .
- 3 Step 3. Look at the particular sample we obtained. What are its particular  $n$ ,  $s$ ,  $\bar{x}$ ?
- 4 Step 4. Calculate  $E = t_{\alpha/2} \frac{s}{\sqrt{n}}$ . Be careful that  $t_{\alpha/2}$  depends on  $df = n - 1$ .
- 5 Step 5. Conclude that  $\bar{x} \pm E$  is our  $(1 - \alpha)100\%$  confidence interval estimator.

# Quiz 2

Quiz 2 will only cover 9.1, 9.2, 10.1, 10.2.

There will be 6 questions.

Question 1. (9.1) Calculate an interval estimator for a population proportion  $p$ .

Question 2. (9.1) Given a margin of error  $E'$  we want to achieve, determine the sample size  $n$  needed for an interval estimator of  $p$  to have at most this error.

Question 3. (9.2) Calculate an interval estimator for a population mean  $\bar{x}$ .

Question 4. (10.1) Basic concepts of hypothesis testing:  $H_0$ ,  $H_1$ , Type of errors, how to draw conclusion to a hypothesis test.

Question 5. (10.2) Classical method of hypothesis test for a population proportion: assume  $H_0$  holds, calculate test statistics  $z_0$  to see whether  $z_0$  falls into critical region.

Question 6. (10.2) Confidence interval method of hypothesis test for a population proportion.

### Definition. (Margin of error—estimating a population mean)

Sample size  $= n$ . Define  $E = t_{\alpha/2} \frac{s}{\sqrt{n}}$  where  $t_{\alpha/2}$  is with  $n - 1$  degrees of freedom.

### Definition. (Confidence interval—estimating a population mean)

If we obtain a particular sample mean  $\bar{x}$ , sample standard deviation  $s$ , and sample size  $n$ . Pick a level of confidence  $(1 - \alpha)100\%$ . We may then calculate  $E = t_{\alpha/2} \frac{s}{\sqrt{n}}$ . A confidence interval of confidence level  $(1 - \alpha)100\%$  of  $\mu$  is  $[\bar{x} - E, \bar{x} + E]$ .

**Conditions for those formulas to work:** (1) the distribution of the new variable  $t$  can be approximated by the Student's  $t$ -distribution. (2) Any sampling is random. (3)  $n < 0.05N$ .

**Example.** Suppose the underlying population is of a very large size and normally distributed with unknown population mean  $\mu$ . We want to estimate this  $\mu$ . We obtained a sample of size 2  $\{2, 4\}$ . Let's construct a confidence interval of confidence level 90%.

## Steps

- 1 Can the distribution of the new variable  $t$  be approximated by the Student's  $t$ -distribution?
- 2  $\alpha$ ?
- 3 To use the formula  $E = t_{\alpha/2} \frac{s}{\sqrt{n}}$  we need to calculate  $s$  and find out  $t_{\alpha/2}$  with  $df = n - 1$  from the Student's  $t$ -distribution table.
- 4 Calculate  $\bar{x}$  and conclude that the interval estimator of 90% confidence interval is  $\bar{x} \pm E$ .

What is the other situation in which the distribution of the new variable  $t$  can be approximated by the Student's  $t$ -distribution?

# Steps in Hypothesis Testing

- 1 Make a statement regarding the nature of the population.
- 2 Collect evidence (sample data) to test the statement.
- 3 Analyze the data to assess the plausibility of the statement.

## In practice: Steps in Hypothesis Testing

- 1 Make a statement regarding the nature of the population, i.e. determine the population parameter ( $\mu$ ,  $p$ ?) we are using, determine  $H_0$  and  $H_1$ .
- 2 Collect evidence (sample data) to test the statement. i.e. Obtain a sample to use. Assume  $H_0$  to be true all the time.
- 3 Analyze the data to assess the plausibility of the statement. Assume  $H_0$  to be true all the time, i.e. if  $H_0 : p = 0.8$  then we actually take  $\mu_{\hat{p}} = p = 0.8$  to construct a distribution of  $\hat{p}$ , and see where our particular sample's proportion lies on this distribution.

## Example.

The packaging on a light bulb states that the bulb will last 500 hours under normal use. A consumer advocate would like to know if the mean lifetime of a bulb is less than 500 hours. Determine  $H_0$ ,  $H_1$ , and the type of this hypothesis test.



		Reality	
		$H_0$ Is True	$H_1$ Is True
Conclusion	Do Not Reject $H_0$	Correct Conclusion	Type II Error
	Reject $H_0$	Type I Error	Correct Conclusion

The packaging on a light bulb states that the bulb will last 500 hours under normal use. A consumer advocate would like to know if the mean lifetime of a bulb is less than 500 hours.  $H_0 : \mu = 500$ ,  $H_1 : \mu < 500$ . Left-tailed test.

Suppose in this hypothesis test we make a Type I error. What's happening?

		Reality	
		$H_0$ Is True	$H_1$ Is True
Conclusion	Do Not Reject $H_0$	Correct Conclusion	Type II Error
	Reject $H_0$	Type I Error	Correct Conclusion

The packaging on a light bulb states that the bulb will last 500 hours under normal use. A consumer advocate would like to know if the mean lifetime of a bulb is less than 500 hours.  $H_0 : \mu = 500$ ,  $H_1 : \mu < 500$ . Left-tailed test.

Type I error happens if the sample we obtained evidences that we should reject  $H_0$ , so we draw the conclusion that the mean lifetime of a bulb is less than 500 hours, but in fact the light bulbs indeed have an average lasting time of 500 hours.

		Reality	
		$H_0$ Is True	$H_1$ Is True
Conclusion	Do Not Reject $H_0$	Correct Conclusion	Type II Error
	Reject $H_0$	Type I Error	Correct Conclusion

The packaging on a light bulb states that the bulb will last 500 hours under normal use. A consumer advocate would like to know if the mean lifetime of a bulb is less than 500 hours.  $H_0 : \mu = 500$ ,  $H_1 : \mu < 500$ . Left-tailed test.

Suppose in this hypothesis test we make a Type II error. What's happening?

		Reality	
		$H_0$ Is True	$H_1$ Is True
Conclusion	Do Not Reject $H_0$	Correct Conclusion	Type II Error
	Reject $H_0$	Type I Error	Correct Conclusion

The packaging on a light bulb states that the bulb will last 500 hours under normal use. A consumer advocate would like to know if the mean lifetime of a bulb is less than 500 hours.  $H_0 : \mu = 500$ ,  $H_1 : \mu < 500$ .

Type II error happens if the sample we obtained evidences that we should not reject  $H_0$ , so we draw the conclusion that [there is not sufficient evidence to conclude that the mean lifetime of a bulb is less than 500 hours], but in fact the light bulbs have an average lasting time of less than 500 hours.

# Drawing conclusion

Because any hypothesis test decision is based on incomplete (sample vs. population) information, we never say that we **accept** the null hypothesis. without having access to the entire population, we don't know the exact value of the parameter stated in the null hypothesis. Rather, we say that we **do not reject** the null hypothesis if our sample indicates that the null hypothesis  $H_0$  could be true.

**The conclusion to a hypothesis test is ALWAYS as follows: There (is/is not) sufficient evidence to conclude that [insert  $H_1$  statement].**

**Example.** Suppose that the sample we obtained evidences that we should not reject  $H_0$ . Our conclusion would be:

**Because [some data analysis result of our sample data set], there is not sufficient evidence to conclude that that the mean lifetime of a bulb is less than 500 hours.**

The previous few pages are reviewing for Question 4 on Quiz 2.

Question 4. (10.1) Basic concepts of hypothesis testing:  $H_0$ ,  $H_1$ , Type of errors, how to draw conclusion to a hypothesis test.

Section 10.2: Procedures to conduct a hypothesis test for a population proportion.

We discuss two approaches: classical vs. confidence interval. Those are Question 5, Question 6 on Quiz 2, respectively.

Question 5. (10.2) Classical method of hypothesis test for a population proportion: assume  $H_0$  holds, calculate test statistics  $z_0$  to see whether  $z_0$  falls into critical region.

Question 6. (10.2) Confidence interval method of hypothesis test for a population proportion.

## Example.

According to a Gallup poll conducted in 2008, 80% of Americans felt satisfied with the way things were going in their personal lives. A researcher wonders if the percentage of satisfied Americans is different today. The researcher obtains a particular random sample of size 100, in which there are 72 positive answers. Choose a level of significance  $\alpha = 0.05$ .

- 1 Step 1.  $H_0$ ,  $H_1$ , type of test?
- 2 Step 2. Assume that  $H_0$  holds. Is  $\hat{p}$  approximately normally distributed?



# Classical approach

## Example.

According to a Gallup poll conducted in 2008, 80% of Americans felt satisfied with the way things were going in their personal lives. A researcher wonders if the percentage of satisfied Americans is different today. The researcher obtains a particular random sample of size 100, in which there are 72 positive answers. Choose a level of significance  $\alpha = 0.05$ .

- 1 Step 1.  $H_0 : p = 0.8$ ;  $H_1 : p \neq 0.8$ , two-tailed test.
- 2 Step 2. Assume  $p = 0.8$ ..  $\hat{p}$  is approximately normally distributed because the population size is large and  $np(1 - p) = 16 \geq 10$ .
- 3 Determine the critical region.
- 4 Does our sample fall into the critical region?

If the sample falls into the critical region, we say the result is statistically significant and then reject  $H_0$ .

# Classical approach

- 1 Step 1.  $H_0 : p = 0.8$ ;  $H_1 : p \neq 0.8$ , two-tailed test.
- 2 Step 2. Assume  $p = 0.8$ ..  $\hat{p}$  is approximately normally distributed because the population size is large and  $np(1 - p) = 16 \geq 10$ .
- 3 Step 3. Critical region, after change of variable,  $z < -z_{0.025}$  and  $z > z_{0.025}$ .
- 4 Step 4. Test statistic  $z_0 = -2$  falls into the critical region, so the result is statistically significant.
- 5 Conclusion: Because our sample statistic falls into the critical region, there is enough evidence to conclude that the percentage of satisfied Americans is different today.

## 10.2 Confidence interval approach

This approach is easy as long as you remember to verify that  $\hat{p}$  is approximately normally distributed and you can construct  $\hat{p} \pm E$ .

### Confidence interval approach is only for two-tailed test

Specify  $H_0$  and  $H_1$  and make sure the test is two-tailed. Assume  $H_0$  holds! Given a confidence level  $(1 - \alpha)100\%$  and a particular random sample of some size  $n$ , we verify that  $\hat{p}$  is approximately normally distributed. We may then calculate  $\hat{p} \pm E$  using  $E = z_{\alpha/2} \sqrt{\frac{\hat{p}(1 - \hat{p})}{n}}$ . By our assumption that  $H_0$  holds, if  $p$  does not lie in this interval, we reject  $H_0$ . If  $p$  lies in this interval, we do not reject  $H_0$ .

## 10.2 Confidence interval approach: Example

Do a hypothesis test with 95% confidence interval,

$H_0 : p = 0.34$ ,  $H_1 : p \neq 0.34$ . A particular sample has  $\hat{p} = \frac{353}{1200}$ .

- 1 Check  $hat{p}$  is normally distributed.
- 2 Calculate  $E$ .
- 3 Write out our interval  $\hat{p} \pm E$ .
- 4 Draw conclusion.

## 10.2 Confidence interval approach: Example

Do a hypothesis test with 95% confidence interval,

$H_0 : p = 0.34$ ,  $H_1 : p \neq 0.34$ . A particular sample has  $\hat{p} = \frac{353}{1200}$ .

①  $\hat{p}$  is normally distributed:  $0.34 \cdot 0.66 \cdot 1200 \geq 10$ .

② Assume  $H_0$  holds.  $\alpha = 0.05$ .

$$E = 1.96\sqrt{0.294 \cdot 0.706/1200} = 0.026.$$

③  $\hat{p} \pm E$  is  $[0.27, 0.32]$ . Since by assumption that  $p = 0.34$ , which does not lie in this interval, we reject  $H_0$ . There is sufficient evidence to conclude that  $p \neq 0.34$ .

## 10.3 Hypothesis test for a population mean

We want to know if Generation Z has a higher average phone screen time than average Americans, given that the average phone screen time of Americans is 5 hours. Let's do a hypothesis test with a level of significance  $\alpha = 0.05$ .

Suppose we obtain a random sample of size 36 from Generation Z Americans with a sample mean  $\bar{x} = 6.5$ , sample variance  $s = 1.5$ .

What are my  $H_0$  and  $H_1$ ?

## 10.3 Hypothesis test for a population mean

We want to know if Generaion Z has a higher average phone screen time than average Americans, given that the average phone screen time of Americans is 5 hours. Let's do a hypothesis test with a level of significance  $\alpha = 0.05$ .

Suppose we obtain a random sample of size 36 from Generaion Z Americans with a sample mean  $\bar{x} = 6.5$ , sample variance  $s = 1.5$ .

$H_0 : \mu = 5$ ;  $H_1 : \mu > 5$ . Right-tailed test.

How can we determine the critical region and whether our sample statistic falls into the critical region? We again assume  $H_0$  holds and change to variable  $t$  via

$$t = \frac{\bar{x} - \mu}{s/\sqrt{n}}.$$

Our variable  $t$  is  $\frac{\bar{x} - 5}{s/6}$ . Since  $36 > 30$ , the distribution of  $t = \frac{\bar{x} - 5}{s/6}$  is approximately standard normal AND approximately Student's  $t$ -distribution with  $df = 35$ .



# Review: Student's t-distribution depends on df

Student's t-distribution vs. normal distribution vs. standard normal distribution.

How to read Student's t-distribution table.